		LI 3-02
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: NAME: Jimmie Davis Parker, Esq.	STATE BAR NO.: 252023	FOR COURT USE ONLY
FIRM NAME: Robinson Markevitch LLP		FILED
STREET ADDRESS: 7812 Wing Flight Court CITY: San Diego	STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 92119	Clerk of the Superior Court
TELEPHONE NO.: 619-887-3300	FAX NO.:	OCT 1 1 000.
E-MAIL ADDRESS: JDP@robinsonmarkevitch.com		OCT 1 1 2024
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiffs Yu, et al.		By: B. Delgado, Deputy
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY C	OF San Diego	Deigado, Deputy
STREET ADDRESS: 101 W Broadway MAILING ADDRESS: Same		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego 92101		
BRANCH NAME: Central		CASE NUMBER:
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Yu, et al		37-2021-00008414
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Gleiberman Proj	perties Inc., et al	JUDICIAL OFFICER:
OTHER:		Hon. Carolyn Caietti
PROPOSED ORDER	R (COVER SHEET)	DEPT: C-70
	-1	0-10

**NOTE:** This cover sheet is to be used to electronically file and submit to the court a proposed order. The proposed order sent electronically to the court must be in PDF format and must be attached to this cover sheet. In addition, a version of the proposed order in an editable word-processing format must be sent to the court at the same time as this cover sheet and the attached proposed order in PDF format are filed.

- Name of the party submitting the proposed order: Plaintiffs Yu, et al.
- Title of the proposed order: [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
- 3. The proceeding to which the proposed order relates is:
  - a. Description of proceeding: Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action
  - b. Date and time: Oct 11, 2024 10:30 AM
  - c. Place: C-70
- 4. The proposed order was served on the other parties in the case.

Jimmie Parker

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

•	the state of the s				
CASE NAME:		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	CASE NUMBER:	OLL ND OT	
Yu, et al. v. Gleiberman Properties,	Inc.		37-2021-0008418	-CU-NP-CTL	

## PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE PROPOSED ORDER

1	am at least 18 years old and	d not a party to	this action					
	ani at least to years old and	d not a party to	tilis action.					
а	<ul> <li>My residence or business</li> </ul>	s address is <i>(sp</i>	ecify):					
	7812 Wing Flight Court							
							,	
b	. My electronic service add	dress is (specify	): JDParker@gma	ail.com				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
а	. Omame of person served Shannon Sweeney; Mik				r parties rep	resented shou	ıld also be state	d.):
	mzarconi@fennemorelav	v.com; Ssweene	ey@fennemorelav	v.com				
b	. To (electronic service add	dress of person	served): above					
Ç.	. On <i>(date):</i> 10-10-2024						•	
C.	On (date): 10-10-2024		44 				•	
С								
c 	Electronic service of the Pr	roposed Order (	<i>Cover Sheet)</i> with	n the attached p	roposed ord	er in PDF form	at and service	of the
с 		roposed Order ( ble word-proces	Cover Sheet) with sing format on ac	n the attached p Iditional persons	roposed ord s are describ	er in PDF form bed in an attac	nat and service o	of the
°	Electronic service of the Pr	roposed Order ( ble word-proces	Cover Sheet) with	n the attached p Iditional persons	roposed ord s are describ	ler in PDF form bed in an attac	nat and service of hment.	of the
с 	Electronic service of the Pr	roposed Order ( ble word-proces	Cover Sheet) with ssing format on ac	n the attached p Iditional persons	roposed ord s are descrit	ler in PDF form ped in an attac	nat and service o	of the
°	Electronic service of the Pr	roposed Order ( ble word-proces	<i>Cover Sheet)</i> with sing format on ac	n the attached p Iditional persons	roposed ord s are describ	er in PDF form ped in an attac	nat and service o	of the
°	Electronic service of the Pr	roposed Order ( ble word-proces	Cover Sheet) with sing format on ac	n the attached p Iditional persons	roposed ord s are describ	er in PDF form ped in an attac	nat and service of	of the
°	Electronic service of the Pr	roposed Order ( ble word-proces	Cover Sheet) with	n the attached p Iditional persons	roposed ord s are descrit	ler in PDF form bed in an attac	nat and service of hment.	of the
с П	Electronic service of the Pr	roposed Order ( ble word-proces	Cover Sheet) with sing format on ac	n the attached p Iditional persons	roposed ord s are descrit	ler in PDF form bed in an attac	nat and service o	of the
с 	Electronic service of the Pr	roposed Order ( ble word-proces	Cover Sheet) with sing format on ac	n the attached p Iditional persons	roposed ord s are describ	ler in PDF form bed in an attac	nat and service o	of the
	Electronic service of the <i>Pr</i> proposed order in an edital	ble word-proces	sing format on ac	Iditional persons	s are descrit	oed in an attac	hment.	of the
ecla	Electronic service of the Pr proposed order in an edital	ble word-proces	sing format on ac	Iditional persons	s are descrit	oed in an attac	hment.	of the
ecla	Electronic service of the <i>Pr</i> proposed order in an edital	ble word-proces	sing format on ac	Iditional persons	s are descrit	oed in an attac	hment.	of the
ecla	Electronic service of the Pr proposed order in an edital	ble word-proces	sing format on ac	Iditional persons	s are descrit	oed in an attac	hment.	of the
ecla	Electronic service of the Pr proposed order in an edital	ble word-proces	sing format on ac	Iditional persons	s are descrit	oed in an attac	hment.	of the
ecla	Electronic service of the Pr proposed order in an edital	ble word-proces	sing format on ac	Iditional persons	s are descrit	oed in an attac	hment.	of the
ecla /10/	Electronic service of the <i>Pr</i> proposed order in an edital are under penalty of perjury 2024	ble word-proces	sing format on ac	Iditional persons	s are descrit	oed in an attac	hment.	of the
ecla /10/	Electronic service of the Pr proposed order in an edital	ble word-proces	sing format on ac	Iditional persons	s are descrit	oed in an attac	ect.	of the

FILED

OCT 1-1 2024

By: B. Delgado, Deputy

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CENTRAL

CHRISTIAN YU; BIANCA HAZEL; RACHEL WHILLIER; and ROES 1 through 100 inclusive; individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Plaintiffs,

VS.

GLEIBERMAN PROPERTIES, INC., a California Corporation, dba MG Properties Group; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 37-2021- 00008418-CU-OR-CTL

## PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Date: October 11, 2024 Time: 10:30 a.m.

Dept.: C-70

Judge: Hon. Carolyn Caietti

Complaint Filed: February 26, 2021

4825-4707-2924.1

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT 49859587.1

1 2

3

4

5

7

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

The Motion of Plaintiffs Christian Yu, Bianca Hazel, and Rachel Whillier (collectively, the "Plaintiffs") for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement came for hearing before this Court on October 11, 2024, at 10:30 a.m. The Court, having considered the proposed Class Action Settlement and Release Agreement ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Jeffrey L. Hogue filed concurrently with the moving papers; having considered Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, memorandum of points and authorities in support thereof, and supporting declarations filed therewith; and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

1. The Court GRANTS preliminary approval of the class action settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds its terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Approval hearing. The Court preliminarily approves the terms of the Settlement Agreement and finds that they are fair, adequate and reasonable. Based on a review of the papers submitted by Plaintiffs, the Court finds that the Settlement is the result of arms-length negotiations conducted after Plaintiffs and/or their counsel adequately investigated the claims and became familiar with the strengths and weaknesses of the claims. The Settlement is presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement. For purposes of the Settlement, the Court finds that the proposed Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the Class Members in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following two Classes:

"Late Fee Class" means all current and former Tenants (i.e., individuals over the age of 18 classified as a Primary Tenant) of Defendant's California Properties who were charged a late fee between August 9, 2017 to the date of preliminary approval that was not waived or excused.

"Security Deposit Class" means all former tenants of Defendant's California properties whose leaseholds terminated between February 26,

2017 to the date of preliminary approval and had greater than \$125 of Qualifying Charges.

- 2. For settlement purposes only, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable, Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the Class's claims, there are questions of law and fact common to the Class Members, which predominate over any questions affecting only an individual Class Member, and class certification is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 3. For settlement purposes only, Plaintiffs Christian Yu, Bianca Hazel, and Rachel Whillier are conditionally certified as the class representatives to implement the Parties' settlement in accordance the Settlement Agreement. The Law Office of Jimmie Davis Parker and Hogue & Belong is conditionally appointed as Class Counsel.
- 4. The Court designates CPT Group, as the third-party Settlement Administrator for mailing notices.
- 5. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice attached as Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Agreement.
- 6. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Claim Form attached as Exhibit 2 to the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Class Members regarding the pendency of the action and of the Settlement Agreement, and the methods of giving notice to Class Members, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members. The form and method of giving notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.
- 8. The Court further approves the procedures for Class Members to opt out of or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the Class Notice and the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. The procedures and requirements for submitting objections in connection with the Final Approval hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the

orderly presentation of any Class Member's objection to the Settlement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Class Members.

- 10. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice and Claim Form to the Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Class Notice shall provide 45 days' notice (calculated from the date of mailing) for Class Members to submit Claim Forms, disputes, opt out of, or object to the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Final Approval hearing on the question of whether the Settlement Agreement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate is scheduled on February 7 at 10:30 a.m. in Department C-70 of this Court, located at 330 W. Broadway, San Diego, California 92101. The Court reserves the right to continue the date of the Final Approval hearing without further notice to the Class Members. The Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or in connection with the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. At the Final Approval hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the Settlement Agreement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the Settlement Class; (b) whether a judgment granting final approval of the Settlement Agreement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiffs' request for service payments, settlement administration costs, and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs should be granted.
- 14. Counsel for the parties shall file any memoranda, declarations, or other statements and materials in support of their request for final approval of the Settlement and Plaintiffs' request for service payments, settlement administration costs, and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs prior to the Final Approval hearing according to the time limits set by the Code of Civil Procedure and the California Rules of Court.
- 15. An implementation schedule is below (assuming that the Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement on October 11, 2024):

Event	Timing .	Proposed Date
Last day for Defendant to provide Claims Administrator the	30 Days (¶ 65)	November 11, 2024

Class List	T	
Class List		:
Notice – Last day for Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice and Claim Form	15 Days (¶ 66)	November 26, 2024
Last day for Settlement Class to Submit Claim Form, Request Exclusion or Object	45 days (¶¶ 23, 68)	January 10, 2025
Last day to file Motion for Attorney's Fees and costs	10 Days before Opt- Out/Objection Period (¶ 52)	December 30, 2024
Final Approval hearing		February 7, 2025
Final Compliance hearing		TBD

- 16. Pending the Final Approval hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement and this Order, are stayed.
- 17. Counsel for the parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in connection with the administration of the Settlement which are not materially inconsistent with either this Order or the terms of the Settlement.
- 18. In the event the Settlement is not finally approved, or otherwise does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the parties shall revert to their respective positions as of before entering into the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/11 / Z4

Hon. Carolyn Caietti
Judge of the Superior Court